



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/755,902	01/03/2001	Frido Garritsen	03935P008	5053		
75	90 03/28/2003					
Glenn E. Von Tersch BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			EXAMINER			
			WALLACE, SCOTT A			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2671	3		
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	<del>)/</del>			
. Office Action Summary		09/755,902		GARRITSEN, FRIDO				
		Examiner		Art Unit	/ (			
		Scott Wallace	ł	2671				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover	sheet with the cor	respondence address				
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum strony period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	Posnopolivo to communication/o) filed on							
1) <u>□</u> 2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Thi	— · is action is non-fi	nal					
<u> </u>	·			coalition as to the mo	rito io			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
•	4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	г.	•					
10)	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Exami	iner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u> .	4)		PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/755,902

Art Unit: 2671

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-4, 11-12, 15-16, 19, 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Karjalainen, U.S. Patent No. 6,392,660.

- 3. As per claims 1 and 25, Karjalainen discloses a method of simulating a second font utilizing a first font, comprising stripping a first portion (pixels) from the first font (column 1 lines 30-35).
- 4. As per claims 2 and 26, Karjalainen discloses further stripping a second portion (pixels) from the first font (column 1 lines 30-35).
- 5. As per claims 3 and 27, Karjalainen discloses displaying the second font (column 1 lines 30-35).
- 6. As per claims 4 and 28, Karjalainen discloses receiving an access request (to zoom out) for the second font (column 1 lines 30-35).

Application/Control Number: 09/755,902 Page 3

Art Unit: 2671

7. As per claims 11 and 15, Karjalainen discloses a machine-readable medium containing a plurality of executable instructions, which when executed on a processor cause said processor to perform a method of emulating a second font utilizing a first font, the method comprising: stripping a first portion from the first font; and stripping a second portion from the first font (column 1 lines 30-35).

- 8. As per claims 12 and 16, Karjalainen discloses wherein the method further comprises: copying the first font into memory; receiving an access request for the second font; and displaying the second font (column 1 lines 10-35).
- 9. As per claim 19, Karjalainen discloses a processor; a first memory coupled to the processor; and the processor emulating a second font by stripping a first portion from a first font and stripping a second portion from the first font (column 3 lines 19-25).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 5-6 and 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karjalainen in view of Ranganathan, U.S. Patent No. 6,101,620.
- 12. As per claims 5 and 29, Karjalainen does not specifically disclose wherein the first font comprises an 8x16 font. However, this is disclosed in Ranganathan in column 13 lines 38-57. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the 8x16 font of Ranganathan with the system of

### Application/Control Number: 09/755,902 Art Unit: 2671

Karjalainen because character fonts are only available in limited sizes therefore it will always be one of these sizes when working with fonts.

13. As per claims 6 and 30, Ranganathan discloses the second font comprises an 8x14 font (column13 lines 38-57).

### Allowable Subject Matter

14. Claims 7-10, 8-9, 13-14, 17-18, 20-24, 31-34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Scott Wallace** whose telephone number is **703-605-5163**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Mark Zimmerman**, can be reached at 703-305-9798.

### Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

## Application/Control Number: 09/755,902 Art Unit: 2671

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600